

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/492,802	01/28/2000	Jong Hoon Yi	2658-0183P	1395
2292	7590 06/16/2004		EXAM	INER
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			CHUNG, DAVID Y	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		Application No.				
Office Action Summary		09/492,802	YI ET AL.			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE f this communication a	David Y. Chung	2871			
Period fo		ppears on the cover sheet with the	e corresp indence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	March 2004.				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	<u>-</u>					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
· ·	Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-28 is/are pending in the a	annlication				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-22,26 and 28</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	☐ Claim(s) 23 and 24 is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1.2.4,7-9 and 25</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) <u>3,6 and 27</u> is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers		3			
_	•	nor				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10/	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
·	•					
_	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
· ·	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	ived in this National Stage			
* 0	application from the International Bure	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
" (See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not rece	vea.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	rr No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/492,802 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of group I in the reply filed on March 30, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examiner has examined all of the claims of the invention in previous office actions and that no undue burden on the examiner exists. This is not found persuasive because applicant's amendment to claims 10 and 26 added a feature to groups II and III not found in group I, specifically barrier lines formed in a single direction. Groups I and III already contained features not found in group II, specifically transistor details. The combination-subcombination restriction was made between groups I, II and III based on the separate search required for the aforementioned features, as evidenced by their different classification.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 7-9 and 25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Izumi (U.S. 6,417,898).

As to claim 1, Izumi discloses a liquid crystal display device with the color filter disposed on the active matrix substrate. See figure 1. Note scanning line 6, signal line 7, and pixel electrode 9. Note TFT 8, comprising: gate electrode 21, gate insulating film 22, semiconductor layer 23, source electrode 24 and drain electrode 25. Insulating layer 11 is interpreted as being a barrier rib during formation of the color filters as shown in figures 4(a)-4(d). Izumi discloses in column 6, lines 37 – 52 that various methods including ink jetting can be used to form the color filter. Note the gate insulating film 22, which acts as a protective layer. The color filter is formed over this protective layer.

As to claim 2, Izumi discloses that the insulating layer 11 is produced by material such as SiN or acrylic resin. See column 5, lines 1 – 7. An acrylic resin insulating layer is a low reflective layer.

As to claim 4, Izumi discloses that the insulating layer 11 in figure 1 can be replaced with a black matrix 31 as shown in figure 8. See column 9, lines 35-55.

As to claims 7 and 8, figure 2 of Izumi shows that the insulating layer 11 has stripe-shaped portions arranged so as to overlap both the data lines and gate lines.

Application/Control Number: 09/492,802 Page 4

Art Unit: 2871

Furthermore, these stripe-shaped portions define column areas that include the pixel cells in which the color filters are formed.

As to claim 9, all liquid crystal displays inherently have a second substrate opposing the first substrate, with liquid crystal between the two substrates.

As to claim 25, each limitation recites forming a specific structural element shown in figure 1 of Izumi. The claims are therefore anticipated.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 10, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the feature upon which applicant relies (i.e., color filter formed directly on the protective layer) is not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The ink-jet color filter is not interpreted as being formed directly on the protective layer because this is not explicitly recited in claim 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 23 and 24 allowed, as previously indicated.

Art Unit: 2871

Claims 3 and 6 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, as previously indicated.

Claim 27 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art did not teach or suggest a separate gate insulation film, wherein the protective layer is disposed between the gate insulation film and the ink-jet color filter.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/492,802 Page 6

Art Unit: 2871

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Chung whose telephone number is (571) 272-2288. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

ROBBERT H. KIM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

David Chung GAU 2871 06/06/04